

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 15

23STCV24118

CHARLES WANG, et al. vs GRUBHUB, INC.

August 10, 2026

10:00 AM

Judge: Honorable Timothy Patrick Dillon

Judicial Assistant: E. Martinez

Courtroom Assistant: C. Gomez

CSR: None

ERM: None

Deputy Sheriff: None

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff(s): Jeffrey Douglas Kaliel (via LACC);

For Defendant(s): Amy Pesapane Lally (via LACC);

NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS: Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of Settlement

The matter is called for hearing.

The Court has read and considered all documents filed in connection to the above-entitled motion.

Daniel Tom appears remotely via LA Court Connect as an objector this date.

The Court, counsel, and objector confer regarding the motion for final approval papers.

Court hears argument from objector and Plaintiffs' counsel.

The Court to further review and issue a ruling shortly after this date.

LATER THE SAME WEEK ON 08/14/2026 - OFF THE RECORD

Having further reviewed and considered all papers filed as to the motion for final approval of settlement, and having further considered oral argument heard on 08/10/2026, the Court now rules as follows:

In connection with final approval of the settlement, Plaintiff needs to address:

1. Support for attorney time incurred. At a minimum, for each separate action included within the lodestar, the court needs admissible evidence of tasks performed, identify of attorney involved, what action, time spent, the billing rate for each attorney involved at the series were performed, etc. There also needs to be an explanation of how the particular action and events in that action helped achieve the settlement here in the action. Are there contemporaneous time records that can be submitted?

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 15

23STCV24118

CHARLES WANG, et al. vs GRUBHUB, INC.

August 10, 2026

10:00 AM

Judge: Honorable Timothy Patrick Dillon

Judicial Assistant: E. Martinez

Courtroom Assistant: C. Gomez

CSR: None

ERM: None

Deputy Sheriff: None

2. Evidence/declaration from the settlement administrator regarding its costs incurred, any agreements with counsel about payment and cost, and explanation of what was done to justify the \$200,000 payment.

3. Value of the claims at issue in this action with a computation with components and likely outcomes. Realistic outcomes of the various claims. How was the \$54 million calculated? What are the documents on which the calculation is based and that support the calculation. Is there a range of possible outcomes? At present, there is just a conclusion without any backup, background, or explanation.

4. The final signed amended settlement agreement and the version of the agreement that the settlement administrator posted on its website during settlement administration. What else was on the administrator's website during settlement administration?

5. A further explanation and description of the changes that Grubhub has implemented because of the settlement. What did disclosure to customers look like before the changes? What are the disclosures now to customers as a result of the settlement? Exemplars or screenshots with descriptions and examples should be submitted, so that the court can understand what was previously disclosed and shown before any changes and what is now depicted when a customer places an order. Again, can any value be placed on these changes?

6. A detailed explanation and discussion of the arbitration issues that impacted the ability of class members to pursue claims in court. How did Grubhub have customers agree to arbitration when a customer placed an order? What were the court rulings about arbitration and what was the likelihood that customers would have ended up in arbitration and why with legal citations.

7. Support for costs. Plaintiff should attach the supporting invoices separated for each case.

Plaintiff shall serve and file the further submission on or before September 18, 2026. The court continues the hearing to October 7, 2026, at 11 a.m. Plaintiff is ordered to serve a copy of this order on all parties and all objectors.

On the Court's own motion, the Hearing on Motion for Final Approval of Settlement scheduled for 08/10/2026 is continued to 10/07/2026 at 11:00 AM in Department 15 at Spring Street Courthouse.

The Judicial Assistant hereby gives notice to Plaintiff, who is to give further and formal notice as stated above, and file proof of service.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

Civil Division

Central District, Spring Street Courthouse, Department 15

23STCV24118

CHARLES WANG, et al. vs GRUBHUB, INC.

August 10, 2026

10:00 AM

Judge: Honorable Timothy Patrick Dillon

Judicial Assistant: E. Martinez

Courtroom Assistant: C. Gomez

CSR: None

ERM: None

Deputy Sheriff: None

Clerk's Certificate of Service by Electronic Notification is attached.